PARADIGM[™] works

Specman Functional Coverage In the Context of an eVC

Verisity ClubV Fall 2002

Stephen D'Onofrio, Ning Guo



PARADIGM WORKS Who Am I and Why Am I Here?

Alliance

- Why use an eVC?
- What is functional coverage/how can it help?
- To talk about functional coverage and how it fits into and enhances an eVC
- What is PCI Express?
- PCI Express eVC and Functional Coverage



The Reuse Mantra

- eVCs are a wonderful advancement in the state of the art for verification
 - Projects start up more quickly

PARADI

- Best practices in generation are captured
- Scenario writing interfaces are consistent
- Improvements & confidence accumulate
- There is greater consistency of results
- eVC's are generators and/or monitors/checkers
- e Reuse Methodology (eRM)
- Functional Coverage helps ensure the consistency of results from Random Stimulus

PARADIGM[™] works



Functional Coverage

Gathers statistics about specific functional events

Design spec -> test plan -> coverage definitions

- Complements "line/state coverage"
 - 100% functional cov. != 100 % line/state cov.
- Function Coverage Styles
 - Statistics
 - Test Criteria
 - Assertions Illegal cases
- Function Coverage Types
 - White-box functional coverage
 - In DUT, requires close interaction/cooperation between verification/designer
 - Black-box functional coverage
 - Coverage can be measured at interfaces by watching activity at the ports

PARADIGM WORKS Functional Coverage In eVC

Alliance

- Much can be learned by watching the stimulus and other activity at the interfaces
 - Visibility into eVC ports to the DUT
 - Looks at eVC internals too
 - Does NOT look at DUT internals
 - Gives insight into coverage of generated tests
- Many Functional Coverage definitions already defined
- Structs are extendable to allow addition by user of DUT-specific coverage





Functional Coverage Examples

type packet_type: [MEM, IO, CFG, MSG];

struct example {
 pkt : packet;

PARADIGM[™]

event send_packet; cover send_packet is

```
//basic item
item basic_item_packet_type : packet_type = pkt.type;
```

```
//basic range
item basic_range_len: uint (bits: 12) = pkt.len using
    ranges = {
    range( [16..255], "small");
    range( [256..3k-1], "medium");
    range( [3k..4k], "big");
    },
    illegal = (len < 16 or len > 4000);
    };
```

// cross coverage
cross basic_item_packet_type, basic_range_len using at_least = 10;

```
};
```



PCI Express Basics

PCI/PCI-X

PARADIGM[™]

- clock/data skew and power dissipation.
- Serial design "SERDES" replaces parallel bus architecture
 - Point-to-point interconnect scalable
 - Allows for isochronous data delivery
- Three Logical Layer design
 - Transaction Layer (TL)
 - Data Link Layer (DLL)
 - Physical Layer (PHY)

Verification 🥏

Alliance

PCI-Express Transaction Layer

- Provides the interface for software
- Connects to address spaces
- Basic packet types (called TLPs)
 - Requestor/Completers
 - TLP Kinds

PARADIGM[™]

- MEM Transfer data to/from a memory-mapped location
- IO Transfer data to/from an I/O-mapped location
- CFG Device configuration/setup
- MSG Event signaling mechanism to general purpose
- MSGAS Message Request with advanced switching
- CPL Completion without Data
- Pipelined full split-transactions
- Credit-based flow control



PCI-Express Data Link Layer

Pass Flow Control Packets

PARADIGM[™]

- Error detection and recovery
 - Adds LCRC and Sequence Number to TLP
 - Setup/transmit TLP ACK or (negative) NACK
 - Includes a retransmit mechanism for packets lost or received with errors
- Also some unique DLLP types originating here
 - Flow Control initialization
 - Power management/data link state maintained









PARADIGM WORKS PCI Express "Retry" Functional Coverage

Alliance

- Basic Coverage
 - Sequence Numbers, CRC Faults, TLP Packet Kind (MEM, IO, CFG, etc.), TLP Transmit Kind (Timer, Nack, Normal), Latency
- Transitional Coverage
 - Back-to-back TLP Packet Kind, CRC Faults, etc.
- Cross Coverage
 - Combinations of above within the same group

PARADIGM WORKS Functional Coverage eVC Code Example

Alliance

struct tl_ transmit

event start_send; cover start_send is {

item crc_fault : bool = (inject_crc_fault == TRUE);

item cmd_kind : pciExpCmd_kind = cov_cmd_kind;

item xmt_kind : TransmitKind = cov_xmt_kind;

cross crc_fault, cmd_kind, xmt_kind using at_least = 10;

item seq_num : uint = cov_seq_num;

cross cmd_kind, crc_fault; cross cmd_kind, crc_bits_slices; cross xmt_kind, seq_num, crc_fault;

```
item crc_bits_slices : uint = cov_crc_bit using
when = (inject_crc_fault == TRUE),
ranges = {
  range( [0]);
  range( [1]);
```

© Copyright 2001-2002 Paradigm Works, Inc.

PARADIGM™ WORKS Verification Color Cross Coverage Report Cross_crc_fault_cmd_kind_xmt_kind 548 Hits from 1 tests						
Grade 🔺 🔤 crc_faul	lt cmd_kind	xmt_kind	Tests	Hits G	oal	Hits / Goal
	10		-		10	10 20 30 40
	10	_	U A	U	U L	•
	CFG	-	0	0	10	9
	Ю	-	0	0	10	•
	CFG	-	0	0	10	•
	MSG	RE_TRANSMIT_NACK	1	2	10	
○ <u>0.20</u> [] TRUE	MSGAS	RE_TRANSMIT_NACK	1	2	10	
♀ <mark>0.30</mark> 🖳 TRUE	CPL	RE_TRANSMIT_NACK	1	3	10	
🔾 🔍 🛄 FALSE	MSG	RE_TRANSMIT_NACK	1	5	10	
🔾 🔍 🛄 TRUE	MEM	RE_TRANSMIT_NACK	1	5	10	
🔾 🔼 🗓 🗓 FALSE	MSGAS	RE_TRANSMIT_NACK	1	6	10	
🔾 0.70 🛄 TRUE	MSG	RE_TRANSMIT_TIMEC	1	7	10	
🔾 🔼 0.80 🛄 TRUE	MSG	TRANSMIT	1	8	10	
	MEM	RE_TRANSMIT_NACK	1	9	10	
G 1.00 PALSE	CPL	RE_TRANSMIT_NACK	1	12	10	







The End

 Contact Information: www.paradigm-works.com
 Paradigm Works
 1 Corporate Drive
 Andover, MA 01810
 stephen.donofrio@paradigm-works.com